
MAHARERA

Newsletter 5

AUGUST 2018



Foreword



Mr. Shrikant Paranjape,

President,

CREDAI-Pune Metro.

Dear Members,

I am extremely pleased to note the initiative taken by CREDAI-Maharashtra and would like to congratulate the entire team for the publication of E- bulletin on RERA to update the members on the important judgements passed by the MahaRERA, and the compliance required under the RERA .

Team CREDAI & MahaRERA Authority are taking special efforts to educate our members about the act and its compliance, and as a followup took various seminars, workshops, discussion forums, training programs and events throughout the year across Maharashtra and this hard work has paid off by registration of maximum number of projects in Maharashtra.

I am sure about the usefulness of this bulletin to our CREDAI members and it will help readers to take insights from the judgment passed by MahaRERA as well it helps to understand what types of compliances are needed under MahaRERA.

My best wishes to team RERA committee for such wonderful concept of e-bulletin on MahaRERA. I hope this e-bulletin will help to clear the doubts and difficulties regarding successful implementation of MahaRERA act.

CONVENOR'S MESSAGE



Mr. Akhil Agarwal, Convenor, Rera Committee, CREDAI Maharashtra

Dear fellow members,

We are successfully removing the 5th edition of the RERA monthly bulletin. Under the guidance of CREDAI National President Elect Satish Magarji, CREDAI Maharashtra President Shantilal Katariji and CREDAI National RERA Convener Suhas Merchantji our young team is striving hard to keep all members updated with the latest developments in RERA.

The RERA Authority has been proactive in training and guiding our members for filing complaints and along with CREDAI team we have been able to conduct various awareness and training workshops at places like Nagpur, Pune, Kolhapur and Nashik. We hope to cover more cities soon along with MAHARERA Team.

There have been various cases filed with RERA authority with a majority of them being filed related to delay in possession of flat units. This newsletter we are also covering mostly the judgements passed by the appellate tribunal body.

The authorities have also simplified the procedure for filing complaints and most importantly have made it very easy to now complain against unregistered projects. This facility will help distinguish the professional developers from the fly-by-night operators and in the longer run will be very beneficial for the real estate industry as a whole.

The Reconciliation forum along with members of the Grahak Panchayat have been very successful in solving the disputes and misunderstandings between the buyers and the developer and have become very popular with a very high percentage of cases being solved. This is an excellent opportunity for both parties to arrive at an amicable solution before litigation and members if they have any requests for such must avail of the same.

TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS

1. MahaRera do not have jurisdiction over refund of VAT, GST, etc.

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=14P8oYziEWLL9R7Rexd0YDtZbPDUunnjPv>

In the given case, the allottee has challenged the order passed by the MahaRera authorities in the month of January, 2018, when the judgement was passed in favour of the promoter. In the first judgement, the authority dismissed the demands on account of delayed possession, claimed by the allottee for (a) Refund of VAT amount; (b) Refund of compensation for cancelation of the apartment. In the said case, the allottee was aggrieved since he has not received the possession of the apartment on the stipulated time.

Whereas the developer put across an order passed by the Municipal corporation which stopped the construction activities in the particular area for a given time. The promoter further questioned if there was any hidden agenda or recourse gain or unfair advantage for causing a loss to the allottee by delaying the possession. The MahaRera authorities confirmed that the order passed by the Municipal authorities was not applicable to the given case since the project was beyond the boundaries of the municipal corporation.

Regarding the refund of the consideration of the flat to the allottee, the authority was of the opinion that the promoter cannot be made responsible for refund the amount of VAT collected and credited to the State Government in the name of the allottee. The concerned authorities will opine if the allottee should get a refund of VAT amount. And regarding the refund of the consideration towards the said flat, the promoter was directed to issue a schedule through which the promoter ensures that the total payment is made in 60 days.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- The refund of taxes like VAT etc cannot fall under purview of MahaRera and need to be pursued independently by buyer

TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS

2. Can a licence to enter premises for fitouts, be regarded as possession?

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1ikbBttvUQjGh8aOCFs4muD-pq8hNydsK>

The allottee has challenged the order passed in November, 2017 by the MahaRera authorities dismissing the plea of the allottee to receive compensation of delayed possession. Since the possession was allotted by the promoter in the month of February, 2017 and hence the case does not fall in the ambit of the MahaRera authorities.

The allottee clarified that he was not granted possession of the said apartment in the month of February 2017, rather it was a mere licence to carry out the fitouts, furniture, fixtures and electrifications. Whereas the allottee also enlightened the fact that the actual Occupation certificate was received in the month of March 2018. Thus, ensuring that the MahaRera authorities have an authority on the said case. The MahaRera authorities also came across how the promoter tried to eyewash the authorities and the allottees by allotting a possession letter [license to enter] before actual receipt of occupation certificate. The authorities also confirmed that there has been a considerable amount of delay and the amount of compensation/ interest/ penalties, etc will be decided in the subsequent hearing.

Hence the appeal made by the allottee was valid.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- For an ongoing project registered under MahaRera and possession given before MahaRera date with occupation certificate from local body will attract all provisions of MahaRera
-

TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS

3. Sale during the grace period allowed for registration be valid?

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1SPHVw85m0tz3FRiN0txoHmjzTZYVqznM>

The developer has challenged the order passed by MahaRera authorities wherein the authority has passed an order against the developer on the complaint made by the allottee for 2 aspects; a) executing sale without first registering the project with MahaRera authorities; b) refund of the booking amount on account of delay of possession within 30 days of the order.

It was brought to the facts by the developer that the booking was taken on 29th of May, 2017 on which date the project was not registered by the developer with MahaRera authorities. The Maharashtra authorities has given a grace period of 3 months from the May 1st, 2017, the day on which the MahaRera act came into force. That means that it was the duty of the developer to register the project on or before July 31, 2017, hence the booking taken on May 29th, 2017 was not invalid. The authority has accepted the developer's appeal in this case.

Secondly, since the said booking of apartment was valid in its order and since the developer, the developer is liable to refund the amount of consideration on delay of possession of the apartment.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- **Booking accepted before registering the project and during the grace period allowed by MahaRera authorities for registration of project is legal.**
-

TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS

4. Need of agreement of sale for demanding refund.

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1aHTPyn3SQT2KO18BJkI2lbX11dj-4Qwy>

15 allottees have complained against a developer who has taken 50% of the consideration of the flat without first executing an agreement of sale. The money was demanded on the basis of allotment letter. The allottees contend that the developer does not intend to start the construction and he has fraudulent intentions of delay the possession of the premises and that was the sole reason of delaying the construction work. The developer contended that he has duly registered the project with MahaRera authorities and while registering the project he has committed a possession date of 2025. Even after the developer has taken 50% of the consideration from all the allottees, there has been no work started at the site. Due to this the allottees suspected the fraudulent intentions of the developer and demanded their consideration along with the interest back.

The developer took advantage of the fact that since there is no agreement of sale executed, the provision of the act to refund the consideration amount would not apply. Also, the developer contended that he has fairly given a date in the year 2025 for handing over the possession to the allottees.

After analysing all the facts of the case, the authority confirmed that in the absence of a proper agreement of sale, the allotment letter can be considered as the document which witnesses the agreement between the allottee and the developer. Absence of the agreement of sale would not relieve the developer to complete his responsibilities. And it is apparent that the developer had fraudulent intentions because he has demanded 50% of the consideration where he had no intentions of starting the construction work. Hence the developer was directed to refund the consideration amounts to all the allottees along with interest.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- **Developer cannot override law just because he has intentionally not executed the agreement with the allottee.**
 - **While ordering to refund the consideration with interest the intention of the developer was keenly observed.**
-

HIGHCOURT JUDGEMENTS

5. Does MahaRera hold jurisdiction over long term lease agreement

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1xwkgBWfrV4SqZxsKbDGi1Y7nXvt9Rs16>

2 Appellants have appealed against the order passed by MahaRera previously admitting the fact the MahaRera does not have jurisdiction over long term lease agreement because the definition of sale does not cover a long-term lease transaction.

The appellants referred to a previous order passed against the similar developer wherein the authority confirmed its jurisdiction over the transaction. In the given case, the appellant has been allotted an apartment in a special township for a lease of 999 years. The developer considers that the project is a pure lease transaction at a special township and have registered the project with MahaRera authorities only to avoid mis reputation and penalties. Since there is no sale happening in the given case, the authority does not have a jurisdiction over the complaint by allottees.

The definition of allottees indicates that the term also indicates 'rights on freehold property' there is no differentiation of a project between a freehold, leasehold or rental property. Also, the developer has accepted a lease rental along with a premium which equates the cost of the apartment, it does not matter if the nomenclature of the consideration, project or title documents was different. The transaction was to the tune of sale transaction as defined in the act. And hence the authority has jurisdiction over the transaction and the complaint.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- MahaRera seeks the essence of the transaction and not the nomenclature used for documentation.
 - Township developed to meet requirements of a special purpose authority cannot fall out of the purview of MahaRera authorities.
-

MAHARERA STATISTICS

REGISTRATION IN MAHARASHTRA

Applicant Type	Application received	Registration granted
Promoter	17476	17295
Agent	15802	15634
Total	33278	32929

COMPLAINT REGISTRATION AND REDRESSAL

Complaints Received	Documents yet to be received	Complaints in process of hearing	Orders passed
3707	226	1089	2163

MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

Appeals Received	Documents yet to be received	Appeals in process of hearing	Orders passed
285	114	87	84

MahaRERA CONCILIATION FORUM

Conciliation request received	Promoter's consent received	First party payment done.	Hearing scheduling pending	Conciliation in process of hearing	Completed
338	181	161	36	32	93

COMPLIANCE

Hand holding Workshop on RERA Compliance Jointly with MahaRERA Authority – organized at CREDAI-Pune Metro Auditorium on 15.06.2018

Under RERA, a regulatory Act implemented by Government of Maharashtra w.e.f. 01.05.2017, it is mandatory for every developer/broker to register their projects online, before they start selling Homes in the open market, unless the project is less than 500 Sq. Mtr. Or having less than 8 units.

MahaRERA authority is of the opinion that, developers needs to be informed regarding various compliances to be carried out on a regular basis till Occupancy Certificate is received by developers, as noncompliance attracts heavy penalties.

In order to educate developers on the post RERA Registration Compliance, CREDAI-Maharashtra had organized workshop on 15th Jun, 2018 at CREDAI-Pune Metro Auditorium, at 2.00 pm was attended by 342 members, where Hon'ble Secretary, MahaRERA – Dr. Vasantji Prabhu addressed the participants, along with presentation by KPMG experts. CREDAI-Maharashtra also broadcasted the event live for the benefits of its members from remote places like Bhandara, Parbhani, Chandrapur, Ratnagiri, Malvan, Sawantwadi, etc. Facebook Live video was viewed by 2570 persons and people reached 14,650.

The workshop was also addressed by Mr. Shantilal Kataria – President CREDAI-Maharashtra, Mr. Shrikant Paranjape – President, CREDAI-Pune Metro, Mr. Suhas Merchant - Chairman, State Advisory Council, CREDAI-Maharashtra and Mr. Akhil Agarwal - Convener, RERA Committee, CREDAI-Maharashtra. This workshop was open to all.



Unveiling Rera e bulletin



Mr. Shantilal Kataria
felicitating Mr. Vasant
Prabhu



Audience for Hand held
workshop

COMPLIANCE LINKS

Credai Maharashtra RERA Team have created videos on how to complete the following compliances on the MahaRERA website.

1. How to ask for Project Extension at MahaRERA

If the project is not completed - apply for extension for the project, and inform the customers etc.

Section 6 of the RERA says

“The registration granted under section 5 may be extended by the Authority for such time as it considers necessary, which shall, in aggregate, not exceed a period of one year.

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1kY2-hwwRuDepICjmkoOPKVe7LhBp5ga9>

2. Quarterly update

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=19VHERv3mnPBd8UdpP045epP9ZFe02Dzw>

Guidelines to update Project regularly

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1MGMTcmOO4JVGCi8H6e3HpSu6Q1ZQsxGn>

3. How to upload MahaRERA form 4 :

If the project is completed - Upload the Occupancy certificate / similar document to this effect and apply for the closure of the project in form 4.

https://drive.google.com/open?id=1qGDisUzUenImJoLQudxwiO1_PtT9tvxX

Guidelines to apply for extension of the Project reelected under MahaRera.

https://drive.google.com/open?id=1ycQ1tVwmG2v_dP0A2OypclpmebCK8MHP

4. Commencement Certificate Uploaded.

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1Z345VCmhDxToAD9GenOaMXS0QW1Z1C-w>

5. MahaRERA has introduced GIS location in registration form. Guidelines to update the same are in this video.

https://drive.google.com/open?id=129vhtFO_HgZm0HjHseVX-rvqNBYCeVm6

MAHARERA NEWS

1. Builders must provide basic amenities to flat owners: MahaRERA

<https://www.hindustantimes.com/mumbai-news/builders-must-provide-basic-amenities-to-flat-owners-maharera/story-9pnoq7yttWhmxOYiFoLhNL.html>

The Maharashtra Housing Regulatory Authority (MahaRERA) came down strongly on a builder for failing to provide promised amenities on Thursday, setting a consumer-friendly precedent for such cases. In a case filed by Jaideep Joshi and Vishwadeep Singh against the builder M/s Build Supreme Union JV for the project Florista County in Pune, MahaRERA asked the builder to provide potable water connection and firefighting equipment within three months. It also directed the builder to hold monthly meetings with flat owners and asked for a compliance report from both parties on a quarterly basis till the promised amenities have been provided.

2. Maha RERA Secretary To Execute Agreement For Sale On Behalf Of Defaulted Builder

<https://accommodationtimes.com/maha-rera-secretary-to-execute-agreement-for-sale-on-behalf-of-defaulted-builder/>

Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority (Maha RERA) recently passed a landmark order in which it directed Secretary of Maha RERA to execute and register the agreement of sale on behalf of the respondents at the cost of the complainant.

On May 31, 2018, while hearing complaint B.D. Kapadnis, Adjudicating Officer, MahaRERA, said, "In case of respondents' failure to execute and register the agreement, the Secretary of MahaRERA shall execute and register the agreement on behalf of the respondents at the cost of the complainant."

3. MahaRERA declines home buyers plea to construct pending houses

<http://www.dnaindia.com/mumbai/report-maharera-declines-home-buyers-plea-to-construct-pending-houses-2643269>

When a group of home buyers approached Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority, asking it, to be allowed to construct, complete and occupy the apartments as the builder is neither proceeding with the work of construction nor handing over possessions, their plea was dismissed. The reason; the section under which the complainants wanted the transfer to be done from builder to them only provided for the obligation of the developer in case of transfer of a project to third party. Housing experts say that complainants should have raised section 7, and proved unfair practices by developer and then asked for a transfer. Also the complainants had approached Civil Court against the developer for delay.

MAHARERA NEWS

4. MahaRERA: Cannot deal with a dispute concerning landowners and developers

<http://www.dnaindia.com/mumbai/report-maharera-cannot-deal-with-a-dispute-concerning-landowners-and-developers-2634616>

When a land owner went to Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority, complaining against the developer seeking compensation, the authority noted that the issue was a dispute between complainant landowner and the developer for specific performance of the development agreement between them. MahaRERA is not an appropriate forum to deal with such dispute as Civil Court has jurisdiction to try such matters. The case was dismissed for want of jurisdiction, which means the authority cannot deal with a dispute concerning landowners and developers.

5. 25% of due cost to be paid after handing over possession: MahaRERA

<http://www.dnaindia.com/mumbai/report-25-of-due-cost-to-be-paid-after-handing-over-possession-maharera-2634964>

In an interesting order, the Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority told a developer that he can ask 25 per cent of the remaining consideration towards the flat cost, only when he hands over the possession. Normally the amount remaining during the time of possession is hardly between five to 10 per cent of the total flat cost. The stand was taken after the builder delayed in handing over possession of the flat.

6. MahaRERA maps 4,500 listed projects with GIS Technology

<http://www.zeebiz.com/india/news-maharera-maps-4500-listed-projects-with-gis-technology-55018>

The Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority (MahaRERA) has started geographical information system (GIS) mapping that will enable citizens to find out even the minutest details of homes of their choice just by selecting the option on the map on its website. Till date, it has mapped nearly 4,500 of the total 16,000 projects registered. The remaining 11,500 would be mapped shortly. This GIS mapping will also enable the prospective buyers to know the exact information about different facilities like railway stations, hospitals, schools and colleges nearby.

MAHARERA NEWS

7. Online housing portals may come under MahaRERA's roof soon

<https://www.hindustantimes.com/mumbai-news/online-housing-portals-may-come-under-maharera-s-roof-soon/story-RF9ZtSDuaWFDHNkU2F6RmN.html>

Online housing portals may soon come under the jurisdiction of the Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority (MahaRERA) which is studying whether and how it can be done, following a demand for it. The Mumbai Grahak Panchayat (MGP), one of the leading consumer forums has written a letter to MahaRERA chairman, Gautam Chatterjee, saying such portals be compulsorily registered with the regulatory body to make them accountable for their real estate transactions. The demand has been seconded by the Confederation of Real Estate Brokers Association of India (CREBAI) which demanded that these online portals be treated like brokers.

8. Look up amenities near your dream home in Mumbai on MahaRERA map soon

<https://www.hindustantimes.com/mumbai-news/look-up-amenities-near-your-dream-home-in-mumbai-on-maharera-map-soon/story-CZAeasNppFECVFTNUHxDcJ.html>

The next time you want to buy a property in a specific area, you can log on to the Maharashtra Housing Regulatory Authority (MahaRERA) website for multiple options in the area, along with the social amenities available nearby.

All this can be availed thanks to a Geographical Information System (GIS) platform, which has mapped all social amenities close to upcoming and on-going 4,500 real estate projects to help buyers make an informed choice.

Projects will appear as bubbles in the map and buyers can filter through them easily.

9. MahaRERA Conciliation: A successful method of fast track dispute resolution for consumer and builder.

<https://www.moneylife.in/article/maharera-conciliation-a-successful-method-of-fast-track-dispute-resolution-for-consumer-and-builder/54765.html>

The MahaRERA had set up about 15 conciliation benches comprising one member each from the developer's associations and consumer organisation to help resolve disputes between developers and homebuyers through dialogue and conciliation process. The Conciliation Benches had come into effect from 1st February and its first hearing taking place on 10 March 2018. This forum acts as a mediator between two parties and as an alternative dispute resolution mechanism. Although it is only available at Mumbai and Pune at the moment, Maharashtra is the first state to implement such a conciliation forum for dispute resolution.

MAHARERA NEWS

10. MahaRERA eyes 3000 new projects to be registered every year in the state

<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nashik/maharera-eyes-3000-projects-to-be-registered-every-year-in-the-state/articleshow/65012833.cms>

MahaRERA said that around 3000 new projects would be registered with it every year. The Chairperson of MahaRERA Gautam Chatterjee said it is mandatory for developers to register with MahaRERA

11. Material suppliers to come under RERA lens

<http://www.dnaindia.com/mumbai/report-material-suppliers-to-come-under-rera-lens-2638089>

In a bid to ensure quality of construction, not just the promoter, but also suppliers and vendors of construction material will be made accountable for lapses as per the provisions of The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (RERA).

The Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority (MahaRera) has asked the promoters of projects registered with it to upload other professionals including suppliers and vendors of construction material on their respective websites with the guarantee period of materials.

12. MahaRERA Simplifies The Complaint Lodging Procedure

<https://www.mumbailive.com/en/real-estate/online-complaints-procedure-with-maharera-becomes-easy-26149>

Last year the MahaRERA authority introduced the MahaRERA Act due to which thousands of people were able to file complaints regarding real estate and unregistered projects. However, in order to file complaints, people had to face a lot of problems as it involved a lot of paperwork. Bearing the tedious procedure in mind, MahaRERA has removed all the paperwork from the complaint lodging to investigation stage.

13. Builders tweak agreements to flout open space norms

<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/pune/builders-tweak-agreements-to-flout-open-space-norms/articleshow/65170243.cms>

In a clear departure from the rules of the Real Estate Regulatory Act, 2016, many developers have uploaded “agreement pro formas” on the website not in consonance with the norms.

NATIONAL RERA NEWS

1. General News

<https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/a-rera-for-the-shareholder-icici-bank-5283808/>

In line with successful implementation of RERA across the country, stakeholders or equity investors demand for separate act. This act will protect investors and equity partners from high profile scandals because of poor shady dealings or due to loss of market capitalisation over months. So this separate regulator or act shall be able to compensate the investor or equity investor.

2. State of Bihar

<https://www.telegraphindia.com/states/bihar/rera-slaps-55-notices-248521?ref=bihar-new-stry>

RERA Bihar issued 55 showcause notices to 16 real estate companies for non-registration of projects and booking apartments or plots in violation of provisions. Projects located in Patna, Bhagalpur, Muzaffarpur, Bhojpur and Rohtas have violated provisions of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016. The Bihar authority used its own sources to gather information about these projects and then served the showcause notices. While at least 30 of the projects are being executed in Patna, some are in Bhagalpur. "We have given the defaulters two weeks to come up with a detailed reply. In case they fail, punitive action will be initiated," said the concerned authority.

3. State of Telangana

<http://www.thehansindia.com/posts/index/Business/2018-07-28/Will-RERA-improve-transparency-in-realty/401702>

Telangana is implementing RERA in state from the month of August 2018. Developers, real estate companies and consultants are optimistic that the new legislation will herald a new era for real estate sector which is a key driver of the country's economic growth. Customers can approach RERA if there is any delay in projects and get remedy faster than earlier feels Ex president of CREDAI National Mr. C Shekar Reddy. This would have long-term benefits and get more transparency in Real Estate in state feels the developers.

4. State of Haryana

https://www.business-standard.com/article/pti-stories/haryana-real-estate-regulator-to-take-strict-action-against-defaulting-builders-118072701107_1.html

1. Haryana's real estate regulator, H-RERA, today warned builders who will fail to fulfil all promises made to home buyers that stringent measures would be taken against defaulting builders. K. K. Khandelwal, Chairman H RERA said projects where 40 per cent of proposed development is completed would not be recommended for withdrawals. The regulator would ensure that such projects take off even if there are certain delays in delivery and rewarding occupancy. Chairman assured builders of no action if their projects are progressing considerably.

NATIONAL RERA NEWS

<https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/other-states/housing-project-rwa-complains-against-developers-to-rera/article24403572.ece>

2. The residents welfare association(RWA) of Greenopolis sector 89, Gurugram complaint to RERA Harayana. Complaint was registered under RERA for three issues three-year delay in completion of the project, non-payment of external development charge and internal development charge, and non-registration of the project under the RERA Act. Further after meetings with developers, department of town and country planning it was found out that insufficient funds to complete the project and chemical effluent drain passing through the middle of the project but it was not covered under apartment buyer's agreement.

<https://accommodationtimes.com/ha-rera-to-complete-unfinished-project-seals-bank-acc-of-builder/>

3. HA-RERA promised 1862 allottees of Greenopolis, Gurugram about delivery of their flats under strict supervision of HA-RERA. All the financial accounts have been freezed of the developer and Mr. Turan has been appointment to investigate the detail issues of the project & developer and submit the report to HA-RERA in ten days. Further, various firms are appointed to find out issues with different departments of the developer. HA-RERA chairman informed in case of any cancellation by customers they will be refunded with interest. HA- RERA also took a bold decision to issue that no further planning or dealing should take place for remaining 10 acre land in same layout.

CREDAI MAHARASHTRA RERA TEAM

MANAGING COMMITTEE

Mr. Shantilal Kataria (President, CREDAI Maharashtra)

Mr. Suhas Merchant (Chairman RERA LEGAL, CREDAI Maharashtra)

Mr. Shrikant Paranjpe (President, CREDAI Pune)

Mr. Satish Magar (President Elect, CREDAI NATIONAL)

Akhil Agarwal (Convenor, Rera Committee, CREDAI Maharashtra)

Ms. Darshana Parmar Jain (Chairman, Womens Wing, CREDAI National)

IP Inamdar (Convenor, Legal, CREDAI Maharashtra)

Majid Kachi (Convenor, Affordable housing, CREDAI Maharashtra)

CREDAI MAHARASHTRA RERA TEAM

Aditya Bedekar

CA Prarthi Dhawale

Mayuri Shende

Soham Shah

Rahul Kotkar

Monish Agarwal

Disclaimer : This Newsletter is made for Internal circulation for CREDAI Maharashtra members only.

For suggestions contact CREDAI Pune office : 020 - 26052654 | admin@credaipune.org

For RERA queries contact :
022 26590035 | Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority




PRABHU GRANITE

Dealers in: Tiles, Granite, Marbles

LEA
CERAMICHE

 SLIMTECH

3.5mm thick designer slim tiles available for the first time in India

Showroom: Prabhu Granite showroom,
Opp. Nimantran Hotel, Bibewadi Corner,
Pune- 411037.

Factory Off.: Sr. No. 61, Next to Chow-
gule Service Centre, Pune- Satara Road,
Katraj, Pune- 411046

Telefax: 020- 26959026

Cell: 09860111169/ 09822311772

Email id: prabhugranite@rediffmail.com

Authorised Distributor in Pune region for

 AGL

 Kajaria

 QUTONE
India's No. 1 Digitally Printed Tiles

 HARMONY